



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/724,286

11/26/2003

Jong-Won Seok

51876P426

1161

8791

7590

07/15/2009

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP  
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER

HONG, HYUN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2426

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/724,286	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SEOK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hyun J. Hong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2426	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8 and 11-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8 and 11-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to an Amendment filed 03/20/09. Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-13 are pending.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ezaki (US 2002/0120574) in view of Levy (US 7,224,819).

**Regarding claim 1, 7, 13,** Ezaki discloses a broadcasting server system for protecting and managing digital broadcasting contents, comprising:

A control means for generating access control information and a control word based on subscriber information, the access control information including CAT, entitlement control message and entitlement management message ([0172]);

An additional data generation means for generating additional data including use control metadata, tool information metadata, and content purchase information metadata to protect and manage the digital broadcasting contents ([0025, 0070]);

Art Unit: 2426

Use control metadata including copy control information, broadcasting flag, and retention information, wherein the content ID is abstracted and used for determining whether a content is an unlawful broadcasting content when the broadcasting content is distributed unlawfully, or the content ID is abstracted and used for determining whether a content that are broadcasted currently is authentic or not after monitoring ([0085, 0111, 0112, 0164]);

A media encoding means for compressing the A/V media signal ([0102]);

An encrypting means for encrypting the compressed A/V media signal ([0016]);

A multiplexing means for receiving and multiplexing the compressed and encrypted A/V media signal to thereby output a media transport stream ([0138]);

A re-multiplexing means for receiving and re-multiplexing the media transport stream, the additional data and the access control information to thereby output a re-multiplexed signal ([0142]);

A scrambling means for scrambling the re-multiplexed signal by using the control word ([0092]);

Wherein the user control metadata include the CCI, the BF and the RI, determines from the CCI whether a broadcasting content can be copied freely, copied one time only and never copied, identifies the BF whether the content is a broadcasting content, and indicates in the RI a length of time that the broadcasting content can remain stored in a hard disk of a receiver ([0068]);

Ezaki does not disclose a watermarking means for receiving an identification of a broadcasting content, which is referred to as a content ID, and

Art Unit: 2426

the use control metadata, and watermarking an A/V media signal by using the content ID and the use control metadata as watermarks.

However, Levy discloses receiving an identification of a broadcasting content, which is referred to as a content ID, and the use control metadata, and watermarking an A/V media signal by using the content ID and the use control metadata as watermarks (col. 13 lines 55-67). It would have been obvious to combine the watermarking of Levy into the user control system of Ezaki. This would allow broadcasting providers to include copyright control data directly into their broadcast media.

**Regarding claim 2, 8,** Ezaki discloses a purchase result management means for managing broadcasting content purchase result of a user ([0025]);

A monitoring result management means for managing broadcasting content monitoring result ([0025]);

**Regarding claim 5, 11,** Ezaki discloses wherein the tool information metadata include:

Protection and management tool information on the protection and management tools used for protecting and managing the broadcasting content ([0025]);

Decrypting information needed for decrypting the broadcasting content to which the protection and management tools are applied, the decrypting information including encrypted transport stream information ([0030]);

Location information on locations to which the protection and management tools should be applied ([0028]);

Art Unit: 2426

Replaceable tool information on kinds of tools that can be replaced; and tools ([0028]);

Ezaki does not disclose watermarking information.

However, Levy discloses watermarking information (col. 13 lines 55-67). It would have been obvious to combine the watermarking of Levy into the user control system of Ezaki. This would allow broadcasting providers to include copyright control data directly into their broadcast media.

**Regarding claim 6, 12,** Ezaki discloses wherein the content purchase information metadata include purchase conditions used when the user purchases the broadcasting content, and a list of contents that can be purchased ([0013]).

### ***Response to Arguments***

In response to applicant's argument:

Additionally, the Examiner states the language .... use control metadata includes RI" does not preclude RI from being metadata but includes it (by its very nature)" (Office Action, page 7). Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner misconstrues the claim language and Applicant's arguments previously presented and further delineated herein. The argument as set forth below is that the elements in Wasilewski and Boston are not equivalent to RI because the cited references do not disclose the claim element "indicates in the RI a length of time that the broadcasting content can remain stored in a hard disk of a receiver".

Thus, we believe the rejection under § 112 should be withdrawn.

Examiner's response:

The 112 first paragraph rejection has been withdrawn.

In response to applicant's argument:

Therefore, Applicant believes that independent claims 1, 7, and 13 and their respective dependent claims are distinguishable over the cited prior art references. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

Examiner's response:

Art Unit: 2426

Arguments are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Hiramatsu (US 2002/0003815)

Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-13 are rejected.

### ***Correspondence Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hyun J. Hong whose telephone number is (571)270-1553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30a-7:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hirl can be reached on (571)272-3685. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2426

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. J. H./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2426

/Joseph P. Hir/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426  
July 15, 2009